

**Proposed No.** 2001-0301.1

## **KING COUNTY**

1200 King County Courthouse 516 Third Avenue Seattle, WA 98104

## Signature Report

July 3, 2001

## **Motion 11231**

Sponsors Nickels

| 1  | A MOTION authorizing the county executive to enter into                               |
|----|---|
| 2  | an interagency agreement with the Seattle police department                           |
| 3  | relating to regional law enforcement information sharing.                             |
| 4  |   |
| 5  |   |
| 6  | WHEREAS, the city of Seattle was awarded a grant from the United States               |
| 7  | Department of Justice, Office of Community Oriented Policing Services (COPS), and     |
| 8  | WHEREAS, the grant is for the purpose of city and county technology systems           |
| 9  | upgrades that will lead to significant improvements to regional law enforcement       |
| 10 | information sharing, and  |
| 11 | WHEREAS, the City of Seattle and King County feel a regional approach to              |
| 12 | information sharing is critical since crime and community-based problems do not align |
| 13 | with jurisdictional boundaries, and   |
| 14 | WHEREAS, the county wishes to avail itself of a portion of the grant                  |
| 15 | funds obligated by the COPS office;   |
| 16 | NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT MOVED by the Council of King County:                            |

The county executive is authorized to execute an interagency agreement,

substantially in the form attached to this motion, for the purpose of city and county

technology systems upgrades that will lead to significant improvements to regional law
enforcement information sharing.

21

Motion 11231 was introduced on 6/4/01 and passed by the Metropolitan King County Council on 7/2/01, by the following vote:

Yes: 12 - Mr. von Reichbauer, Ms. Fimia, Mr. Phillips, Mr. Pelz, Mr. McKenna, Ms. Sullivan, Mr. Nickels, Mr. Pullen, Mr. Gossett, Ms. Hague,

Mr. Thomas and Mr. Irons

No: 0

Excused: 1 - Ms. Miller

KING COUNTY COUNCIL KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON

Pete von Reichbauer, Chair

ATTEST:

Anne Noris, Clerk of the Council

Attachments

A. Interagency Agreement for COPS 2000 Technology Grant: King County Project Implementation

## INTERAGENCY AGREEMENT

for

11231

### COPS 2000 TECHNOLOGY GRANT: KING COUNTY PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION

**Executed By** 

The Seattle Police Department (SPD), a department of the City of Seattle, hereinafter referred to as the "City"; Department Authorized Representative: Assistant Chief Debbie Allen 610 Second Avenue Seattle, WA. 98104-1886

and

King County, a home rule charter county, a political subdivision of the State of Washington as represented by King County Sheriff's Office, hereinafter referred to as the "County";

Authorized Representative: Chief Rebecca Norton

King County Courthouse

516 Third Ave., Rm. W-116

Seattle, WA. 98104

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties have executed this Agreement by having their representatives affix their signatures below.

| KING COUNTY                                | SEATTLE POLICE DEPARTMENT                |
|--|--|
| By:Ron Sims, King County Executive         | By:  R. Gil Kerlikowske, Chief of Police |
| By: David G. Reichert, King County Sheriff | Date:                                    |

**AUTHORIZED BY:** 

CITY OF SEATTLE ORDINANCE NO. 119939

GRANT PROGRAM: COPS 2000 TECHNOLOGY, #2000CKWX0045

TDC:KCIA-Earmark.DOC;1.24.01

**ORIGINAL** 

- WHEREAS, the City of Seattle was awarded a grant from the U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Community Oriented Policing Services, hereinafter referred to as COPS Office, as authorized by City Ordinance No. 119939 for the purpose of City and County technology systems upgrades that will lead to significant improvements to regional law enforcement information sharing;
- WHEREAS, a regional approach to information sharing is critical since crime and community-based problems do not align with jurisdictional boundaries;
- WHEREAS new technology will allow the City and the County to effectively share information with federal, state, and other local law enforcement and criminal justice agencies;
- WHEREAS the mutual goal will be to enhance law enforcement's ability to reduce, prevent, and solve criminal activity in the City and the County by providing accessible, automated, and timely crime data across the region; and

WHEREAS the County wishes to avail itself of a portion of the grant funds obligated by the COPS Office;

NOW THEREFORE, in consideration of the terms, conditions, covenants, and performance of the scope of work contained herein, or as attached and made a part hereof, the parties hereto agree as follows:

This Interagency Agreement contains seven (7) Sections.

#### SECTION 1. DESCRIPTION OF SERVICES

The County shall procure consultant services to conduct an evaluation and needs assessment of the existing Records Management System, hereinafter referred to as RMS, and then plan and develop a Request for Proposal, hereinafter referred to as RFP, for an integrated RMS. Additionally, the King County Sheriff's Office, herein referred to as KCSO, will expand and enhance technology in key areas.

#### SECTION 2. SPECIAL CONDITIONS

- 2.1 The County shall provide, in a timely manner, any reports required by the U.S. Department of Justice, COPS Office for its grant program titled COPS 2000 Technology Grant Program, including any interim progress reports required by the City.
- 2.2 The County shall consult with the City, in advance, on any planned deviations from work described in the COPS 2000 Technology Grant Program or the County's scope of work described below in SECTION 3. Scope of Work.
- 2.3 The County shall participate in quarterly meetings, as scheduled by the City, for purposes of discussing progress on project activity.

#### SECTION 3. SCOPE OF WORK

The County shall procure consultant contract services to evaluate the existing RMS and to expand and enhance technology in key areas, as described below.

# NG COUNTY INTERAGENCY AGREEMENT PAGE 3 OF 4

3.1 <u>RMS Evaluation, Needs Requirements, and Request for Proposal.</u> The County shall execute a consultant contract for a technology and organizational assessment of its technology platforms, followed by a definition of requirements for a fully integrated Records Management System (RMS) and the development of a Request for Proposal (RFP). The consultant contract shall include assessment and requirements for compatibility with the City's RMS.

Estimated cost: 800 hrs. @ \$125/hr. =

<u>\$100,000</u>

3.2 <u>IRIS/RMS Planning and Development</u>. The County's Incident Reporting and Investigation System (IRIS), hereinafter referred to as IRIS, is a "front-end" software that performs many of the functions of a RMS. The County shall conduct an assessment, planning and development of the system to compare IRIS capabilities with RMS requirements.

Estimated cost: 750 hrs. @ \$80/hr. =

\$60,000

3.3 <u>CAD/IRIS/RMS/Crime Analysis Integration</u>. The County shall conduct an analysis of the full integration and data exchange between its computer aided dispatch (CAD), IRIS, RMS and crime analysis to facilitate implementation that will allow all data to be fully and readily available to these systems.

Estimated cost: 250 hrs. @ \$80/hr. =

\$20,000

3.4 <u>Build Problem-Solving Case Model for IRIS</u>. IRIS is currently designed to collect, transfer, store, and analyze incident-based information. King County shall build a problem solving case model that will provide the ability to associate related information, track problem-solving progress, and provide a reporting function.

Estimated cost: 187.5 hrs. @ \$80/hr. =

\$15,000

3.5 <u>Supervisory and Management Report Development</u>. King County shall develop reports for supervisors and managers that are designed to take full advantage of the frequently needed information within IRIS and the RMS. In addition to crime analysis information, supervisors and managers shall have timely information that will improve productivity and performance and allocate resources to real problems. King County shall also post such reports on the County's web-site that will increase the organization's ability to learn collectively about "best practices."

Estimated cost: 812.5 hrs. @ \$80/hr. =

\$65,000

3.6 Other Web and Network Development. In order to assure that the County's infrastructure will support the sharing of information from a RMS, Web and Network planning shall include consideration of important security, integration, and information sharing issues.

Estimated cost: 1,000 hrs. @ \$75/hr. =

\$75,000

3.7 <u>Training Development.</u> The information sharing technologies will require staff training. Using the County's current training model, King County shall develop new curriculums.

Estimated cost: 928.5 hrs. @ \$70/hr. =

\$65,000

TOTAL SCOPE OF WORK COSTS:

\$400,000

#### SECTION 4. TERM OF AGREEMENT

The term of this Agreement shall commence on January 1, 2001, and expire on September 30, 2001, after which it shall be renewed or re-negotiated, if necessary.

#### **SECTION 5. PAYMENT**

- 5.1 <u>Compensation</u>. The maximum amount to be paid for all of the services provided under this Agreement shall not exceed Four Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$400,000). The City shall reimburse the County quarterly for satisfactorily documented COPS Technology Grant Program consultant contract expenses. Any deviations from approved expenses shall require prior approval from the COPS Office, via SPD.
- 5.2 <u>Manner of Payment</u>. The County shall submit on not more than a quarterly basis, consistent with the Scope of Work, a properly documented request for reimbursement to:

Fiscal Section, Seattle Police Department 610 Third Ave., Ste. 900 Seattle. WA. 98104-1886

A properly documented request for reimbursement shall be signed by an authorized representative on behalf of the County and shall disclose actual quarterly work described in this Agreement. The request for reimbursement shall cover only work completed to the date of the request and shall not include work performed and reported under a prior request. The City shall reimburse the County for satisfactorily documented costs.

#### SECTION 6. AMENDMENTS

No modification or amendment of the provisions hereof shall be effective unless in writing and signed by authorized representatives of the parties hereto. The parties hereto expressly reserve the right to modify this Agreement, by mutual agreement.

#### SECTION 7. ENTIRE AGREEMENT/WAIVER OF DEFAULT

The parties agree that this Agreement is the complete expression of the terms hereto and any oral or written representations or understandings not incorporated herein are excluded. Both parties recognize that time is of the essence in the performance of the provisions of this Agreement. Waiver of any default shall not be deemed to be a waiver of any subsequent default. Waiver or breach of any provision of the Agreement shall not be deemed to be waiver of any other or subsequent breach and shall not be construed to be a modification of the terms of the Agreement unless stated to be such through written approval by the City, which shall be attached to the original Agreement.